## आयुक्तकाकार्यालय



Office of the Commissioner केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय Central GST, Appeal Ahmedabad Commissionerate जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाडीअहमदाबाद३८००१५. GST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015 Phone: 079-26305065 Fax: 079-26305136 E-Mail: commrappl1-cexamd@nic.in



<u>By Regd. Post</u> DIN NO. 20221164SW000050275F

Ξo ile

i

いい日本語

THE PARTY OF

111

	5. ft	
(क)	फ़ाइल संख्या / मुile No.	GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/3075/2022 / 4373~ 58
(ख)	अपील आदेश संख्याऔर दिनांक / Order-In-Appeal No.and Date	AHM-CGST-002-APP-ADC-111/2022-23 and 30.11.2022
(ग)	पारित किया गया / Passed By	श्री मिहिर रायका, अपर आयुक्त (अपील) Shri Mihir Rayka, Additional Commissioner (Appeals)
(घ)	जारी करने की दिनांक / Date of issue	01.12.2022
(ङ)	Arising out of Order-In-Original No. ZY2401220101970 dated 11.01.2022 passed by The AC/DC, CGST, Division – II (Naroda Road), Ahmedabad North Commissionerate	
(च)	अपीलकर्ता का नाम्नः और पत्ता / Name, and Address of the Appellant	M/s Padmaxi Textile (Legal Name – Nimeshbhai Indravadan Shah) (GSTIN-24AMWPS1827K1ZE) 1509, Kalyan Mills, Nawab Estate, Mahavirnagar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-380025

	agent Rept - 31		
· · · ·	इस आदेश(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी /प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर		
(A)	मलता है।		
	Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate		
	anthonity in the following way		
	Notional Bench or Regional Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GS1 Act/CGS1 Act		
(i)	in the cases where one of the issues involved relates to place of supply as per section		
	109(5) of CGST Act, 2017.		
(ii)	State Bench or Area Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other		
	than as mentioned in para- (A)(i) above in terms of Section 109(7) of CGST Act, 2017		
	Appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017 and shall be accompanied with a fee of Rs. One Thousand for every Rs. One		
	Rules, 2017 and shall be accompanied with a rec of Rs. One including the unit of the recent and the second and		
(iii)	Lakh of lax of input fax credit involved of the understand in the order appealed against, involved or the amount of fine, fee or penalty determined in the order appealed against,		
	involvent to a maximum of Rs. Twenty-Five Thousand.		
<u> </u>	Appeal under Section 112(1) of CGST Act. 2017 to Appellate Tribunal shall be filed along		
(B)	i with relevant documents either electronically or as may be nouned by the registrar,		
	A small to Tribunal in FORM GST APL-05 on common portal as prescribed under Rule 110		
	of CGST Rules, 2017, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against		
	L statis seven deve of filing FORM GST APL-05 online.		
	Appeal to be filed before Appellate Tribunal under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017		
	after paying – (i) <u>Full amount of Tax, Interest, Fine, Fee and Penalty</u> arising from the impugned		
	i and an an is admitted (accepted by the appellant: and		
(i)	A sum equal to twenty five per cent of the remainingamount of fax in dispute,		
	in addition to the amount paid under Section 107(6) of CGS1 Act, 2017, ansing		
	from the said order in relation to which the appeal has been filed.		
	The Central Goods & Service Tax (Ninth Removal of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dated		
(ii)	1 or in 0010 has provided that the appeal to tribunal can be made within the months		
	from the date of communication of Order or date on which the President or the State		
	President, as the case may be, of the Appellate Tribunal enters office, whichever is later. उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए, अपीलार्थी		
	उच्च अपलियि प्राधिकारी की अपलि दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विरुद्ध जार नेपायल प्रायल करने हैं।		
	विभागीय वेबसाइट <u>www.cbic.gov.in</u> को देख सकते हैं। For elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the appellate		
(C)	authority, the appellant may refer to the website <u>www.cbic.gov.in.</u>		
لب	authority, the appellant may refer to the website www.ebsorgovizze		
	D WE CLAIM COS		

#### ORDER-IN-APPEAL

### **Brief Facts of the Case :**

M/s Padmaxi Textile (Legal Name-Nimeshbhai Indravadan Shah) (GSTIN-24AMWPS1827K1ZE), 1509, Kalyan Mills, Nawab Estate, Mahavirnagar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-380025 (hereinafter referred as *the 'Appellant'*) has filed the present appeal against the Order No. ZY2401220101970, dated 11.01.2022 (hereinafter referred as *the 'impugned order'*) passed by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Division-II, Naroda Road, Ahmedabad North (hereinafter referred as the *'adjudicating authority'*) rejecting the refund claim of Rs.1,35,950/-.

**2(i).** Briefly stated the facts of the case are that the '*Appellant*' is holding the GSTINo.24AMWPS1827K1ZE and has filed the present appeal on 23.05.2022. The '*Appellant*' in the appeal memo informed that they had filed refund application for the refund claim of Rs.1,35,950/- on account of Inverted Tax Structure on dated 30.12.2021 for the period from 01.03.2019 to 31.03.2019. In response to the said refund claim a Show Cause Notice No. ZW2412210357892 was issued to the '*Appellant*'.

**2(ii).** Further, the *adjudicating authority* has rejected the entire refund claim vide *impugned order*. A remark is mentioned in the *impugned order* as - "The submission of the tax payer is not acceptable. There's no extension given in filing refund claim as clarified vide Circular No. 157/3/2021-GST, dated 20.07.2021. Therefore, entire refund claim is liable for rejection as time barred".

**2(iii).** Being aggrieved with the impugned order the appellant has filed the present appeal on 23.05.2022, wherein they stated that –

- they have applied for refund in form RFD01 on 30.12.2021 but same was rejected on the reason of time barred of the refund. Ground for the rejection is that they filed the refund after two years from the date of Invoice, considered beyond the time limit given under section 54 of the Act.
- they are eligible for refund under Section 54 of the CGST Act, 2017 as per Hon'ble Supreme Court Order dated 10.01.2022 excluding the time between 15.12.2020 to 28.02.2022 for the purpose of computation of 2 year, imitation.

#### F.No. : GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/3075/2022

The appellant in the appeal memorandum has requested to consider their refund application and grant refund as per Section 54 of the CGST Act, 2017.

-3-

3. The appellant vide email dated 25.11.2022 informed that due to similarity of the appeal case GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/1381/2022. They do not need a hearing for the present appeal GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/3075/2022. However, they submitted copies of (i) Notification No. 13/2022-Central tax, dated 05.07.2022 & also CBIC circular No. 157/13/2021-GST dated 20.07.2021 and prayed to consider the same. In the written submission dated 23.11.2022 they submitted that the time between 15<sup>th</sup> March, 2020 to till date is excluded for computation of 2 year limitation as per Hon'ble Supreme Court Order.

### Discussion and findings:

4(i). I have carefully gone through the facts of the case available on records, submissions made by the 'Appellant' in the Appeal Memorandum. I find that the 'Appellant' had preferred the refund application on account of Inverted Tax Structure as per Section 54(3) of the CGST Act, 2017. In response to said refund application, a Show Cause Notice was issued to them proposing rejection of refund claim. Thereafter, the refund claim was rejected by the adjudicating authority vide impugned order. I find that in the impugned order a remark is also mentioned as - "The submission of the tax payer is not acceptable. There's no extension given in filing refund claim as clarified vide Circular No! 157/3/2021-GST dated 20.07.2021. Therefore, entire refund claim is liable for rejection as time barred".

4 (ii). I observed that in the instant case the order was issued on 11.01.2022 and appeal was filed on 23.05.2022. At the outset, I find that the impugned order was communicated to the appellant on dated 11-01-2022 and present appeal was filed on dated 23-05-2022 i.e. after a period of four months hence the appeal was filed beyond the time limit prescribed under Section 107 of the Act. As per Section 107 (1) of CGST Act, 2017, the appellant was required to file appeal within 3 months from the date of communication of the said order. Further, as per Section 107(4) ibid, the appellate authority has powers to condone the delay of one month in filing of appeal over and above the prescribed period of three months as mentioned above. If Stifficient cause is shown. Thus, the total time limit available to the appellant for filling of appeal is four months from the date of communication of order.

ikura Houra Houra

for broch a

# F.No.: GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/3075/2022

However, in the above context, I find that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has passed order on 10.01.2022 in matter of Miscellaneous Application No. 21 of 2022 in M.A. 665 of 2021, in SMW(C) No. 3 of 2020. Hon'ble Supreme Court vide Order dated 10.01.2022 ordered that for computing period of limitation for any suit, appeal, application or proceedings the period from 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 shall stand excluded and consequently balance period of limitation remaining as on 03.10.2021 if any, shall become available with effect from 01.03.2022 and that in cases where the limitation would have expired during the period from 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022.

In the present matter, the "impugned order" was issued on dated 11.01.2022 and appeal was filed on 23.05.2022. Accordingly, in view of above order of Hon'ble Supreme Court the present appeal is considered to be filed in time. Hence, the appeal filed by the appellant succeeds on time limitation, ground.

**4(iii).** Further, I find that the refund claim was rejected for the reason that there is delay in filing refund application. In the appeal memorandum *the Appellant* has relied upon the various case laws in support of their claim claiming the same as being filed in time and also submitted a copy of Notification No. 13/2022-Central Tax dated 05.07.2022 issued by the CBIC.

**4(iv).** I have gone through the Notification No. 13/2022-Central Tax, dated 05.07.2022 issued by the CBIC. The relevant Para is reproduced as under :-

(iii) excludes the period from the 1<sup>st</sup> day of March, 2020 to the 28<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2022 for computation of period of limitation for filing refund application under section 54 or section 55 of the said Act.

2. This notification shall be deemed to have come into force with effect from the 1<sup>st</sup> day of March, 2020.

I find that in the present matter the refund claim for the period from 01.03.2019 to 31.03.2019 was filed on 30.12.2021. Considering the limitation period it should have been filed within two years from the relevant date. However, In light of the Notification No. 13/2022-Central Tax, dated 05.07.2022, I hold that the entire claim for the period

### F.No.: GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/3075/2022

from 01.03.2019 to 31.03.2019 is not hit by time limitation prescribed under Section 54 of the CGST Act, 2017. I find that the claim was rejected vide *impugned order* solely on the ground of limitation. Therefore, any claim of refund filed in consequence to this Order may be examined by the appropriate authority for its admissibility on merit in accordance with Section 54 of the CGST Act, 2017 and Rules made thereunder.

-5-

5. In view of above discussions, the *impugned order* passed by the *adjudicating authority* is set aside for being not legal and proper and accordingly, I allow the appeal of the "*Appellant*" without going into the merit of all other aspects, which are required to be complied by the claimant in terms of Section 54 of the CGST Act, 2017 read with Rule 89 of the CGST Rules, 2017 and to be verified by *the adjudicating authority*.

6. अभीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeals filed by the *appellant* stands disposed of in above terms.

e preuno de

s ier hay b

nordater i l

(Mihir Raýka) Additional Commissioner (Appeals)

Date: 3 0.11.2022



di deanie .

EL V

Attested the a 50

(Ajay Kumar Agarwal) Superintendent (Appeals) Central Tax, Ahmedabad!

By R.P.A.D.

M/s Padmaxi Textile, (Legal Name -Nimeshbhai Indravadan Shah) (GSTIN-24AMWPS1827K1ZE) 1509, Kalyan Mills, Nawab Estate, Mahavirnagar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-380025

app Kanas appointeendo antona Trax arcialacing

# F.No.: GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/3075/2022

and the second second

Copy to:

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.
- 2. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Appeals, Ahmedabad.
- 3. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Ahmedabad-North.
- 4. The Additional Commissioner, Central Tax (System), Ahmedabad- North.
- 5. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, CGST & C.Ex, Division-II (Naroda Road), Ahmedabad-North.

Guard File.

7. P.A. File

б

